

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

DEREK R. OWENS (CABN 230237)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6488
Fax: (415) 436-7234
Email: Derek.Owens@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
D'MARQUES ANTHONY LUCKETT,
Defendant.

No. CR 07-0589 PJH

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
OCTOBER 9, 2007 THROUGH
OCTOBER 25, 2007

On October 9, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for an arraignment. At that time, the parties stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from October 9, 2007 through October 25, 2007, due to the pending detention motion by the government, and the effective preparation and continuity of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for the continuity and effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the

STIP. AND ORDER
CR 07-0589 PJH

1 defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

2 SO STIPULATED:

3 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
4 United States Attorney

5 DATED: 10/23/2007 _____

/s/ Derek Owens

6 DEREK R. OWENS
7 Assistant United States Attorney

8 DATED: 10/23/2007 _____

/s/ Steve Kalar

9 STEVE KALAR
10 Attorney for D'Marques Anthony Lockett

11 As the Court found on October 9, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that
12 an exclusion of time between October 9, 2007 through October 25, 2007, is warranted and that
13 the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
14 defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested
15 continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for continuity and
16 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a
17 miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

18
19 SO ORDERED.

20
21 DATED: 10-29-07 _____

